

REMARKS:

OBJECTION TO THE DRAWINGS

The drawings are again objected to in the Office Action because reference characters 6C, 6D 4C, 4D and 11 mentioned in the specification do not appear in the drawings. Applicants have amended paragraphs 20-22 and 24 to remove the reference numbers which are discussed in the specification but not included in the drawings.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 102(B)


Claims 14-28 were again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP-2002-327780. Applicants incorporate herein by reference the REMARKS made in the September 30, 2005 amendment.

In the Office Action, the Examiner correctly determined about the disclosure of JP-2002-327780 that at least one wall 37 elevates adjacent to the distal end of the return spring to limit at least one of the clockwise and counter clockwise movements of the distal end around the base end relative to the supporting member via the frictional contact of the distal end of the return spring with the stop. Please note that the at least one wall recited in claim 14 limits the clockwise movements of the support member not by the frictional contact but by abutting against the support member. Please take a close look at the limitation of claim 14 which reads as follows:

at least one wall that elevates from the supporting member adjacent to the return spring to limit a clockwise or counter clockwise movement of the distal end around the base end relative to the supporting member by abutting against at least one edge of the return spring which connects the base and distal ends of the return spring.

The limitation recites in relevant part that at least one wall limits a rotational movement of the distal end by abutting against a side edge of the return spring ("at least one edge which connects the base and distal ends"). In JP-2002-327780, however, movements of the spring plate (30) are restricted due to frictional force between the tips (36) and (37). Thus, JP-2002-327780 is silent about the at least one wall of the present invention abuts against the side edge of the return spring to restrict the rotational movement of the spring. Thus, the reference cannot anticipate the claims.

Respectfully submitted,



Tadashi Horie
Registration No. 40,437
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Date: May 12, 2006

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. Box 10395
Chicago, IL 60610
(312) 321-4200